## Reprint from

## RECENT ADVANCES IN DOPING ANALYSIS (7)

W. Schänzer
H. Geyer
A. Gotzmann
U. Mareck-Engelke
(Editors)

Sport und Buch Strauß, Köln, 1999

## R.K. MUELLER, R. PREISS, M. KRUEGER:

Anabolic Steroids and Enhanced Aggressiveness In: W. Schänzer, H. Geyer, A. Gotzmann, U. Mareck-Engelke (eds.) Recent advances in doping analysis (7). Sport und Buch Strauß, Köln, (1999) 131-142 R.K. Mueller<sup>1</sup>, R. Preiss<sup>2</sup>, M. Krueger<sup>2</sup>

## **Anabolic Steroids and Enhanced Aggressiveness**

Institut fuer Dopinganalytik, Kreischa<sup>1</sup>; Institut fuer Pharmakologie und Toxikologie, Universitaet Leipzig<sup>2</sup>

The actual effect of doping agents is no precondition for a doping offense, so that any psychological side-effects in particular are not relevant for the analytical laboratory. But enhanced aggressiveness seems to play an increasing role in forensic toxicology in connection to violent crimes.

In those cases, the question of causality arises, and the courts have to decide whether an enhanced aggressivity possibly caused by doping agents might give reason of diminished responsibility for the criminal behaviour, possibly even for the exclusion of guilt (in German "Schuldfähigkeit" or "inability to be guilty").

When having asked for several corresponding expertises and expert witnesses in courts during the recent years, we began a literature survey on the problem of the postulated enhancement of aggressiveness. In total, we have found more than 100 associated papers, which of course cannot be commented here in detail. The principal results, observations, the different opinions, possible conclusions and the remaining questions can only be outlined in this frame.

Aggressivity is a behavioural phenomenon, it can be approached only by psychological terms and tests, and it implies genuinely subjective aspects of evaluation, not being comparable at all with our analytical findings. Therefore it must be expected, that objective conclusions seem not to be the most probable outcome.

An objective approach to the effect in question of anabolic steroids could be seen in animal experiments, and in addition those would encounter less ethical impediments than studies on human beings.

Numerous studies of behavioural chances of animals by anabolic steroids have been focussed to

- motoric activity
- dominance or submission
- couple fights
- sexual activity.

Unfortunately, the meaning of the observations is mostly weakened by several marginal factors like

- different species
- sometimes small numbers of animals
- different agents
- combinations of several agents
- greatly varying dosages
- usage of various specimens (blood, urine, saliva) and of different methods for analysis
- differing durations of medications and / or observations
- influence of strongly varying endogenous steroids onto the action of exogenous agents.

A generalisation of the observations is therefore hardly possible, and the transformation to humans has the wellknown additional uncertainties. But that psychic factors play no role in animals is an advantage with respect to the objectivity of observations.

In the light of the final aim of the evaluation, we have to take into consideration even the opposite fact: a human being is no animal due to his or her conscious control of their own. Any "animalic" component of an external effect should normally be additionally controlled in men compared to animals, and just the loss of control under the influence of drug would be the deciding criterion of diminished poenetarial responsibility.

## Examples of studies on animals

LUMIA et al. (1994) reported results on gonadally intact rats under high doses testosterone propionate (in parallel to propylene glycol as a vehicle control) three times

a week for 10 consecutive weeks. While not any parameter of sexual activity (copulation frequency etc.) was altered, aggressivity was increased. The males exerted more often dominance and threat, less submission, but no increase of body contacts in the sense of fight.

BRONSON et al. (1996) reported observations on female mice under application of four anabolic steroids in doses either equal or five times higher than the maintenance level for male mice.

There was no influence onto the recovery time after exercise stress (enforced tread-mill running). Increased aggressiveness and other behavioural chances were not proportional to the dose. (A suspected threshold dose would be well below the common dosage in female athletes or body builders.)

MARTINEZ SANCHIS et al. (1996) came to an opposite conclusion after administering stanozolol to young and adult mice. Although there was trend of a slight increase of aggressiveness in young animals and rather the opposite effect in the older ones, there were no significant differences in "ethologically assessed social behaviour including aggression".

## Studies on humans

To make a comparison easier and to show the difficulties of generalisation, some examples for studies on humans are presented in Table 1.

Most authors confess a low overall significance of their results concerning the correlation between anabolics and aggressiveness, and the more of a respective causality. This refers also to the majority of the papers not commented here.

Nevertheless, the following consideration lets guess a rather low probability of a strong influence of anabolics onto aggressiveness in the sense of offenses against life and health of humans: the worldwide population of anabolic (mis)users counts certainly for millions. In case of a causality the violent outbursts had probably led to an undisputable incidence and correlation since long. So we assume, that the possible connection was restricted to a "weak aggressiveness" like the readiness and urge to compete, feeling of irresistible power and superiority, "elbow-behaviour" etc.

Violence to the harm of others seems to be exceptional and / or caused by complex conditions.

So this correlation remains an uncertain possibility in our opinion.

## Factors to be considered are

- the practically unavoidable preselection of study groups (prisoners, violent offenders, athletes, adolescents instead of average population) can by definition not be representative for human beings in general, and even the readiness to take part in studies implying the administration of anabolics constitutes a certain preselection
- the special emphasis onto the retrospective investigation of psychic "outbursts" has to take into consideration a possibly preexisting psychopathology
- partly small groups of individuals studied
- studies partly relying on self-evaluations of the individuals studied
- subjective moments of observations hardly avoidable in not-blinded studies
- often inadequate control groups
- agents, dosages and time schedules of administration partly unknown, unprecise or uncertain (black market origin), combinations
- expectancy of effects might influence behaviour and self-evaluation.
- possible dominance of additional factors (other hormones, alcohol, drugs, pharmaceuticals, social environment).

Therefore one should try to find a way to score the surveyed papers according to their content of objectice information, to their significance for generalisable conclusions.

# Literature observations on associations between anabolics and aggressiveness Table 1

Author(s),	Year	Population	Anabolics, dosage	Observations	Conclusions, comments
Endogenic anabolics	nabolics				
Ehrenkranz et al.	74	36 male prisoners 12 chronic aggressive 12 social dominant 12 control	Θ,	correlation of testosterone to dominance	
Bergman et al.	94	18 imprisoned wife-beaters		psychological characterisation; T-level correlates only marginal; alcohol and drugs dominante the asocial behaviour	reflects necessarily preselection I;
Brooks et al.	96	194 male offenders 15 – 17 y	serum testosterone	violent offenders (n = 75) had higher T levels than sexual (n = 17) or nonviolent offenders (n = 102)	ethnical differences, strange criteria
Studies with	positive co	rrelation of anabolic	Studies with positive correlation of anabolics use / psychic effects		
Burnett et al.	94	24 adolescent athletes with reported use 24 nonusers 24 nonathletic adolescents	substances and dosages not known	users had more depressions, anger, mood disturbances only significant: users vs. control users vs. nonusers not significant	, anger, ntrol ficant

⊈ ≤	<u>~</u>	œ	<del>-</del> 0	<b>₹</b> ⊘0	Þ
Middleman et al.	Kouri et al.	Bond et al.	Parrott et al.	Choi et al. 94 (Violence towards women)	Author(s),
95	95	95	94	94 vards	Year
3054 high-school f Students, 49 % u male; mean age 16y	8 males contr. lab	46 male strength athletes; 16 current users, 16 former users, 14 nonusers	21 male athletes	23 user strength athletes 14 nonuser	Population
frequency scale 040 times use (lifetime) )y	T. cypionate, double blind randomized, increasing doses for 2 weeks (150 mg/300 mg/600 mg/week) or placebo	several substances (stacking) cycles 8–11 weeks with 2-3 compounds athletes completed 3.4 cycles	high doses during 6–14 week cycles	several steroids (stacking)	Anabolics, dosage
high-risk behaviour: sexual behaviours, suicidal behaviours, frequency of not wearing a passenger seat belt,	psychological tests (push-button accumulation of money or subtraction from fictious opponent)	suspiciousness, and negativism "word conflict task", current users rated themselves more negatively, showed slower performance, higher aggressiveness	user questionnaire about hostility / aggression: subjects reported significantly higher feelings of aggression, aggression towards objects, verbal aggression, abd aggression during training (but nor physical aggression towards people) during the on-steroid periods. Other changes included signifivantly higher feelings of alertness, irritability, anxiety,	interviewed about 3 months (nonusers) or last cycle/ interval (users); Users reported significantly more fights, verbal aggression, violence towards their significant others when using anabolics than when not using them	Observations
ıt belt,	only 8 people, exclusion of 2 during study	not significant, only trends	ings s, rtraining ple)	only self- evaluation; partners not asked n	Conclusions, comments

Anabolics,dosage	Conclusions, Comments
Population	Observations
Year	
Author(s),	

riding a motorcycle, not wearing a helmet while riding a motorcycle, driving after drinking alcohol, riding with a driver who had been drinking alcohol, fighting, and carrying a weapon:

steroid use is part of "risk behaviour syndrome"

## No correlations steroid use/behavioural changes

but: short time	self evaluation by psychologic interview forms	no significant change at all, no difference in any of the 5 MAI domaines
self-evaluation and clinical examination: placebo group showed signif. higher effect	steroid users: mood disturbances incl. psychiatric disorders increased by 23 % but no aggressiveness more depression	multi-dimensional anger inventory (MAI) mood inventory (MI) observer mood inv. (OMI) (spouse, partner, parent) before, during, after the
40 mg Testosterone/d 1 week placebo control	agents not stated, no doses given	600 mg T. enanthate/week (for 10 weeks)
27 males	athletes (88 users, 68 nonusers)	double-blind placebo-controlled 43 eugonodal men 1940 y (no competitive athletes)
94	94	96
Björkqvist et al.	Pope et al.	Tricker et al. 96

Exercise consisted of thrice weekly strength training sessions.

10 week intervention

I: placebo, no exercise

II: T, no exercise III: placebo, exercise

IV: exercise

The Multi-Dimensional Anger Inventory (MAI), which includes 5 different dimensions of anger

(inward anger, outward anger, anger arousal,

Observations

Population Anabolics,dosage Conclusions, Comments

Hostile outlook, and anger eliciting situations), and

a Mood Inventory (MI), were administered to subjects before, during, and after 10 week intervention.

The subject's significant other (spouse, live-in partner, or parent) also answered the same questions about the subject's mood and behaviour (Observer Mood Inventory, OMI).

No differences were observed between exercising and nonexercising and between placebo and TE treated subjects

Overall there were no significant chances in MI or OMI during the treatment period in any group.

for any of the 5 subdomains of MAI.

Conclusion: Supraphysiological doses of testosterone, when administered to normal men in a controlled setting, do not increase angry behaviour.

These data do not exclude the possibility that still higher doses of multiple steroids might provoke angry behaviour in men with preexisting psychopathology.

## Casuistics

Annitto et al.

80

1 schizophrenic su

substances not mentioned

no causality postulated, only recommend of observation

Observations comments	unemployed	social environment (unemployed, father) with psychiatric disonder		one case + alcohol; incidental?		outcome of aggressions or competitive encounters can alter T levels, even mental state influences T
of this side-effectation comments			psychic symptoms, violent outburst, "unusual physical sign"	murder under the influence?		inconclusive, probably no neonatal organizing effect of androgens on human aggression, higher T-levels in groups of higher aggressiveness
Anabolics, dosage	after T-preparations for 2 y (bodybuilder)	various anabolic steroid preparations	substances not mentioned	stanozolol + T nandrolone		metaanalysis, (T and human aggression)
Population Conclusions,	1 withdrawal depression	1 severe depression after 2 y (bodybuilder)	1 bodybuilder	2 murderers (high doses)		neonates, adults
Year	. 94	94	al. 94	96		9
Author(s),	Allnutt et al.	Cowan	Stanley et al.	Corrigan B.	Surveys	Archer

Dabbs	Bahrke et al.	Author(s),
96	90	Year
summarises studies with extraordinary design	estimation of 1 Mio users in USA	Population
T-levels, (testosterone, aggression and delinquency)	metaanalysis, several substances	Anabolics, dosage
T and family integration dissocial behaviour of Vietnam veterans face-expression on photographs winners and loosers of competitions	extremely small percentage develop mental disturbances severe enough to require clinical treatment	Observations
several positive correlations	in "positives" unclear influences of psychiatric history, genetic susceptibility, environmental and peer influences, expectation	Conclusions

## References

Allnutt, S; Chaimowtz, G (1994).: Anabolic steroid withdrawal depression: a case report. Can J Psychiat 39, 317- 318.

Annitto, WJ; Layman, WA (1980): Anabolic steroids and acute schizophrenic episode. J Clin Psychiat 41, 143-144.

Archer, J (1991): The influence of testosterone on human aggression. Brit J Psychol 82, 1-24.

Bahrke, MS; Yesalis III, CE; Wright, JE (1996): Psychological and behavioural effects of endogenous testosterone and anabolic-androgenic steroids. Sports Med 22, 367-390.

Bergmann, B; Brismar, B (1994): Characteristics of imprisoned wife-beaters. Forensic Sci Int 65, 157- 167.

Björkqvist, K; Nygren, T; Björklund, A-C; Björkqvist, S-E (1994): Testosterone intake and aggressiveness: real effect or anticipation? Aggressive Behav 20, 17-26.

Bond, AJ; Choi, PYL; Pope, HG (1995): Assessment of attentional bias and mood in users and non-users of anabolic-androgenic steroids. Drug Alcohol Depen 37, 241-245.

Bronson, FH; Nguyen, KQ; De La Rosa, J (1996): Effects of anabolic steroids on behavior and physiological characteritics of female mice. Physiol Behav 59, 49-55.

Brooks, JH; Reddon, JR (1996): Serum testosterone in violent and nonviolent young offenders. J Clin Psychol 52, 475-483.

Burnett, KF; Kleiman, ME (1994): Psychological characteristics of adolescent steroids users. Adolescence 29, 81-89.

Choi, PYL; Pope, HG (1994): Violence toward women and illicit androgenic-anabolic steroid use. Ann Clin Psychiat 6, 21-25.

Corrigan, B (1996): Anabolic steroids and the mind. MJA 165, 222-225.

Cowan, CB (1994): Depression in anabolic steroid withdrawl. Irish J Psychol Med 11, 27-28.

Dabbs, JM: Testosterone, aggression, and delinquency. In: Pharmacology, Biology, and Clinical Applications of Androgens. Bhasin, S (ed.), Wiley-Liss, New York 1996, 179-190.

Ehrenkranz, J; Bliss, E; Sheard, MH (1974): Plasma testosterone: correlation with aggressive behavior and social dominance in man. Psychosom Med 36, 469-475.

Kouri, EM; Lukas, SE; Poppe, HG; Oliva, PS (1995): Increased aggressive

responding in male volunteers following the administration of gradually increasing doses of testosterone cypionate. Drug Alcohol Depen 40, 73-79.

Martinez-Sanchis, S; Brain, P; Salvador, A (1996): Long-term chronic treatment with stanozolol lacks significant effects on aggression and activity in young and adult male laboratory mice. Gen Pharmacol 27, 293- 298.

Middleman, AB; Faulkner, AH; Woods, ER (1995): High-risk behaviors among high school students in Massachusetts who use anabolic steroids. Pediatrics 96, 268-272.

Lumia, AR; Thorner, KM; McGinnis, MY (1994): Effects of chronically high doses of the anabolic androgenic steroid, testosterone, on intermale aggression and sexual behavior in male rats. Physiol Behav 55, 331-335.

Parrott, AC; Choi, PYL; Davies, M (1994): Anabolic steroid use by amateur athletes: effects upon psychological mood states. J Sport Med Phys Fit 34, 291-298.

Pope, HG; Katz, DL (1994): Psychiatric and medical effects of anabolic-androgenic steroid use. Arch Gen Psychiat 51, 375- 382.

Stanley, A; Ward, M (1994): Anabolic steroids- the drugs that give and take away manhood. A case with an unusual physical sign. Med Sci Law 34, 82-83.

Tricker, R; Casaburi, R; Storer, TW; Clevenger, B; Berman, N; Shirazi, A; Bhasin, S (1996): The effects of supraphysiological doses of testosterone on angry behavior in healthy eugonadal men- A clinical research center study. J Clin Endocr Metab 81, 3754-3758.